712100

D63 A 1009-4997(2022)06-0098-12

TII

[2] [3]

[5]

2022-10-11

"(22AZZ006)

[9]

[11]

[6]

[7]

[8]

11

[10]

[29]

1+1

[35] 1

2. 1365

2021 31.3 10 159

[36]

2016

1

101

321

2022 8 8

15

1.

[2]

2.

2021

99.21% 2021

321 Q

2021 1

2021

2022 8

: 6 M

321

[41]

+

1.

3. 2021 2022

- 105 -

[42]

2.

3.

[43]

ment Review,1988,13(3):471-482. 268. [32]BOREN R. Don't delegate-empower[J]. Supervisory [38] [J]. Management,1994,28(10):10. [33] ,2017(11):124-129. : ([39] [J].),2020(2):1-12. [34] ()[M].: ,2019:26. [40] [J]. [J]. ,2022(6):134-144. 30 [35] 2009(6):104-127. *"*: [41] [J]. ,2022(7):85-94. [J]. 2022(1):107-118. [36] [42] [EB/OL]. ,(2020-10-20) [J]. ,2017(4):35-44. [2022-09-10]. http://ahfy. ahcourt. gov. cn/article/detail/2020/ [43] 10/id/5531221.shtml. [J]. ,2022(2):83-94. [37] [M].

,2017:264-

towns is closely related to local "geographical conditions". In addition, the interaction between pressure from higher-level authorities and the financial situation has further exacerbated the excessive proliferation of special towns. To solve the problem of excessive proliferation of special towns, we should play a dual role of leveraging both financial and social resources, establish an accountability system and supervise false special towns in a timely manner. At the same time, we should also develop suitable special town construction plans based on local conditions and prevent homogenization.

Key words: Characteristic town New-type urbanization policy Innovation diffusion Event history analysis Interactive influence

How to Realize the Innovative Iteration of the Local Government Management Model?

An Yuanyuan¹ Zhang Xiang² Chen Jiaxi¹

1.Urban Governance Research Institute, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen Guangdong 518000

2.School of Public Affairs, Xiamem University, Xiamen Fujian 361005

Abstract: In the process of continuous government innovation, projects with strong interests show great differences in their survival status. Some projects are locked in inefficient or even stagnant, and some projects are continuously upgraded. This paper starting from the friction between the government innovation practice and the old institutional norms, takes the S cooperation zone as an example, to explore the process and mechanism of local governments to overcome the resistance. It is found that whether the innovation iteration can be realized is closely related to the integration of rules on actors, the completeness of cooperation elements, and the consistency of iteration schemes and policy environment orientation. The key actors of organization play an important role in this process, which is mainly reflected in whether an "organized" action group with centripetal force, collaboration field and resource channel support can be formed based on the common goals, information links and open networks. Without changing the original innovation ideas and objectives, we should seize the opportunity of "positive coupling" with the policy environment and promote

of grassroots governance innovation is achieved through positive incentive and negative prodding to achieve a positive reinforcement mechanism and feedback adjustment mechanism respectively, so that grassroots organizations and Party members and cadres in the governance innovation should both do something and pay attention to moderate action, thus enhancing the strong synergy of governance innovation. Strengthening empowerment, positive incentive and scientific restraint support each other and promote each other; based on the whole process of empowerment, all-round incentive and all-factor restraint to achieve system innovation, experience diffusion and cadres' action, and then jointly drive the activation of grassroots governance innovation.

Key words: Party building empowerment; Separation and balance; Grassroots governance innovation; Chinese-style modernization; Driving mechanism

9

The Capabilities Creation Based on Strengths:

Research on Community Property Co-governance Path under the Leadership of Party Building
A Case Study of T Community in Nanjing

Shen Guanghe¹ Yang Ying²

1.College of Humanities and Social Sciences, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 211106; 2.College of Marxism, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049

Abstract: With the popularization of community-style residential pattern, resolving property conflicts in the community is a pain point that must be faced to achieve the modernization of grassroots social governance. As a result of the complexity of the reasons for property conflicts, only by incorporating properties into the community co-governance system can we find a way out of the solution. By investigating the "red property" in T community, it is found that the capabilities creation based on strengths under the leadership of Party building is an effective way to realize the co-governance of communities and properties. Starting from the advantage positioning, the Party building-led meta-governance framework should be established to explore the governance advantages of multiple subjects in the community. And advantages should be integrated through the co-construction platform embedded in Party building, the co-creation rules under the coordination of the Party and the community and the joint control under the linkage of the party and the masses, so as to gradually realize the symbiosis of multi-subject advantages, activate the endogenous power of community governance, and continue to produce the advantage effect of co-governance increment. It shows the party logic of "follow the advantages of the main body and realize the creative energy governance", which provides a reference for the path of shaping the modernization of primary-level governance.

Key words: Leadership of Party building; Integration of advantages; Creative energy governance; Co-governance of communities and properties